



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.tisplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/904,837	07/16/2001	Olof Johansson	150-001	2830
759	0 10/04/2004		EXAM	INER
Steven S. Payne			HARRELL, ROBERT B	
8027 ILIFF Driv Dunn Loring, V	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2142	

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

86

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			JOHANSSON ET AL.			
		09/904,837 Examiner	Art Unit			
		Robert B. Harrell	2142			
<u> </u>	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		1			
Period for Reply						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133),			
Status						
1) 又	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 July 2001.					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 15-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 15-34 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 16 July 2001 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) Notice 3) Information	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) ter No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: see attched	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2142

- 1. Claims 15-34 are presented for examination.
- 2. The United States filing date of 16 July 2001, for this application, is more then 30 months from the earliest filing date of 2 July 1998 (Swedish Priority Document 9802415-1).
- 3. The Oath and/or Declaration is defective since several of the Inventors lack citizenship, and/or date of executing, and/or actual execution of the Oath and/or Declaration.
- 4. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should sufficiently describe the disclosure to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details (see MPEP 608.01(b)). Correction is required in response to this Office action.
- The Pythagorean theorem based equation used in the claims (e.g., claim 19 and/or 34 as examples) is void from page 19 (lines 8-11) of the specification. However, such an equation can be easily derived from the remainder of the specification (including drawings) using the standard $c^2=a^2+b^2$ without undue experimentation using the vectored point pairs, as lim(k) becomes infinite, provided in the set(s) given as originally filed. Nonetheless, the equation must be present at least on The applicant should use this period for response to thoroughly and very closely proof read and review the whole of the application for correct correlation between reference numerals in the textual portion of the Specification and Drawings along with any minor spelling errors, general typographical errors, accuracy, and clarity of meaning in the Specification, Drawings, and specifically the claims (i.e., the use of "[" and "]" in claim 17 implies these limitations have been cancelled and no longer part of the claims). Minor typographical errors could render a Patent unenforceable and so the applicant is strongly encouraged to aid in this endeavor.
- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 7. Claims 15-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the applicant regards as the invention. The scope of meaning of the following claim language is not clear:
- a) "the contents"--claims 15, 24, and 32 (line 4), claim 25 (line 5),
- b) "the basis"—claim 15 (line 14);
- c) "the closes dominating point"-claim 16 (line 4-5);
- d) "the norm"-claim 16 (line 5);
- e) "the dominating point"—claim 16 (line 5).
- 8. As to 6 (a-e) above, these are but a few examples of numerous cases where clear antecedent bases are lacking and not an exhausting recital. Any other term(s) or phrase(s) over looked by examiner and not listed above which start with either "the" or "said" and do not have a single

Art Unit: 2142

proper antecedent bases also is indefinite for the reasons outlined in this paragraph. Also, these are but a few examples where term(s) or phrase(s) are introduced more than once without adequate use of either "the" or "said" for the subsequent use of the term(s) or phrase(s). Moreover, multiple introductions of a term, or changes in tense, results in a lack of clear antecedent bases for term(s) or phrase(s) which relied upon the introduced term. Failure to correct all existing cases where clear antecedent bases are lacking can be viewed as non-responsive.

- 9. A comma appears required after the word "network" in claim 15 (line 5).
- 10. Pronouns (e.g., see claim 15 (line 12) fails to clearly recite the proper noun upon which it replaces. Use of the proper noun is required to clearly point out the invention since "its" cannot be clearly ascertained. Also see other claims, such as, but limited to, claim 25 (line 13).
- 11. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 12. Claims 15-34 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being clearly anticipated by Sandstorm et al. (WO 00/02114 Published 13 January 2000).
- 13. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 (three) months and 0 (zero) days from the data of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned (see MPEP 710.02, 710.02(b)).
- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert B. Harrell whose telephone number is (703) 305-9692. The examiner can normally be reached Monday thru Friday from 5:30 am to 2:00 pm and on weekends from 6:00 am to 12 noon Eastern Standard Time.
- 15. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack B. Harvey, can be reached on (703) 308-9705. The fax phone number for all papers is (703) 872-9306.
- 16. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

ROBERT B. HARRELL PRIMARY EXAMINER